



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,965	02/28/2002	Donald C. Likes	TT3973	1256
53362 7	590 09/29/2005		EXAM	INER
HAMILTON & TERRILE. LLP P.O. BOX 203518			BATES, K	CEVIN T
AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/085,965	LIKES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin Bates	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	February 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-22-02.	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on February 28, 2002.

The Change of Address' were received on May 14, 2002, October 31, 2003, and June 6, 2005.

The Information Disclosure Statement was received on July 22, 2002 and has been considered.

Claim Objections

Claims 11 and 21-23 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 11, the dependent claim ends with a semi-colon. All claims should end with a period to be considered proper.

Regarding claim 21, the words and on line 4 is preceded by another and, so there a duplicate words. Claims 22 and 23 have the same issue.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Man (5710908).

Regarding claims 1, 10, and 18, Man teaches a method comprising: obtaining a message from a first component of a software system (Column 6, lines 19 - 24); identifying a module to handle scheme-specific communication of the message (Column 10, lines 35 - 44); and using the module for communicating the message from the first component to a second component of the software system (Column 10, lines 42 - 45).

Page 3

Regarding claims 2, 11, and 19, Man teaches the method of claims 1, 10, and 18 wherein the communicating the message comprises using communication scheme-specific programming code of the module, wherein the first component does not comprise the communication scheme-specific programming code; and the second component does not comprise the communication scheme-specific programming code (Figure 2, elements 250, which is the first communication component, elements 230-232, which are modules with the scheme-specific programming code separate from the first component).

Regarding claims 3, 13, and 20, Man teaches the method of claims 1, 10, and 18 wherein the using the module for communicating the message comprises at least one of a group consisting of the following: using a communication scheme-specific transmitter for transmitting the message (Column 10, lines 42 – 45); and using a communication scheme-specific receiver for receiving the message (Column 5, line 60 – Column 6, line 2).

Regarding claims 4, 14, and 21, Man teaches the method of claims 1, 10, and 18 wherein the identifying the module comprises calling a communication scheme handler to identify the module (Column 10, lines 23 – 31).

Application/Control Number: 10/085,965

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claims 5, 15, and 22, Man teaches the method of claims 4, 10, and 18 wherein the identifying the module comprises at least one of a group consisting of the following: requesting a transmitter server to identify the module (Column 10, lines 23 – 31, where the system calls the transmitter part of the server to select the module and send messages through the selected module); and requesting a receiver server to identify the module (Column 5, line 60 – Column 6, line 2, where the system calls the receiving part of the server to select the module and multiplex messages through the selected module).

Regarding claims 6, 12, and 23, Man teaches the method of claims 1, 10, and 18 wherein the communicating the message comprises using a common interface for the first component and the second component (Column 7, lines 11 – 12).

Regarding claims 7, 16, and 24, Man teaches the method of claims 1, 10, and 18, wherein the communicating the message comprises: using a first resource locator to identify the first component; and using a second resource locator to identify the second component (Column 10, lines 35 – 44, destination ID and access ID).

Regarding claims 8, 17, and 25, Man teaches the method of claims 7, 16, and 24 wherein the communicating the message comprises: using a first communication scheme from the first resource locator for communicating with the first component; and using a second communication scheme from the second resource locator for communicating with the second component (Column 10, lines 35 – 44, where the recourse locators are mapped with the access line pairs which identifies the scheme modules).

Application/Control Number: 10/085,965

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claims 9 and 26, Man teaches the method of claims 8 and 25 wherein the first and second communication schemes are the same (Figure 3, elements 281-283, where the first and second components are connected through the same protocols).

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U. S. Patent No. 5793771 issued to Darland, because it discloses a protocol module between components in a software system.
- U. S. Patent No. 5182748 issued to Sakata, because it discloses a protocol conversion system.
- U. S. Patent No. 5706211 issued to Beletic, because it discloses a protocol module interface, for selected a plurality of protocol modules.
- U. S. Patent No. 6151390 issued to Volftsun, because it discloses a protocol converter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,965

Art Unit: 2155

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MB

ΚB

September 25, 2005

SALEH NAJJAR